

DI  
Cont.

on said carriage so that when said carriage is pulled out, said holder is raised and when said carriage is pushed in, said carriage is lowered back onto said carriage.

---

REMARKS

The last Office Action has been carefully considered.

It is noted that claims 1, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over the patent to Lancaster.

Also, the claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112.

At the same time the other claims are not rejected over the art.

In connection with the Examiner's formal rejection of claim 1 claim 1 has been cancelled and claim 13 has been submitted, which eliminates the grounds of the formal rejections and includes the features of claim 5.


It is believed that this claim now should be in allowable condition since it contains the allowable subject matter of claim 5.

Claims 6 and 9 have been amended to depend on claim 1, thus making it allowable as well. The other claims depend directly or indirectly on claim 13, they share its allowable features, and therefore it is respectfully submitted that they should be allowed as well.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 27233